

A General SAS® Macro to Implement Optimal N:1 Propensity Score Matching Within a Maximum Radius

Kathy Hardis Fraeman, Evidera, Bethesda, MD

ABSTRACT

A propensity score is the probability that an individual will be assigned to a condition or group, given a set of covariates when the assignment is made. For example, the type of drug treatment given to a patient in a real-world setting may be non-randomly based on the patient's age, gender, geographic location, overall health, and/or socioeconomic status when the drug is prescribed. Propensity scores are used in observational studies to reduce selection bias by matching different groups based on these propensity score probabilities, rather than matching patients on the values of the individual covariates. Although the underlying statistical theory behind propensity score matching is complex, implementing propensity score matching with SAS® is relatively straightforward. An output data set of each patient's propensity score can be generated with SAS using PROC LOGISTIC, and a generalized SAS macro can do optimized N:1 propensity score matching of patients assigned to different groups. This paper gives the general PROC LOGISTIC syntax to generate propensity scores, and provides the SAS macro for optimized propensity score matching. A published example of the effect of comparing unmatched and propensity score matched patient groups using the SAS programming techniques described in this paper is presented.

INTRODUCTION

In experimental studies and controlled clinical trials, subjects or patients are randomly assigned to a condition or group. However, in real-world observational studies, the "assignment" of a person to a group or condition is usually not randomly based. For example, the type of drug treatment given to a patient in a real-work setting may be based on conditions that exist when the drug is prescribed, such as the patient's age, gender, geographic location, and/or socioeconomic status. In epidemiologic terms, this non-random assignment of subjects or patients to different treatment groups can produce something known as "selection bias." A study with selection bias – where patients are not randomly assigned into groups – can cause the resulting statistical analyses of the study's data to be distorted, unless this selection bias is accounted for in the study's analyses.

Propensity scores are used in observational studies to reduce selection bias, by matching subjects or patients on the probability that they would be assigned to a specific group. A propensity score is simply a probability that a subject would be assigned to a specific group, and matching subjects on propensity scores produces comparison groups of subjects who would be equally likely to have been assigned to the study's group or condition.

The underlying statistical theory behind the use of propensity scores and propensity score matching is beyond the scope of this paper. This statistical theory is well explained in some of the listed reference articles. Further, the use of propensity score matching as a means of controlling selection bias in observational studies is not the only method that can be used to control for selection bias, nor is the propensity score method consistently endorsed or used by all epidemiologists and statisticians who analyze observational data. This paper neither encourages nor discourages the use of propensity scores in the analysis of observational data to control for selection bias.

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate how to implement propensity score matching using the radius method with SAS, which is one of several methods used to match on propensity score. (Baser, 2006) One potential drawback of propensity score matching using the radius method is the difficulty in knowing *a priori* what radius is reasonable.

COMPUTING PROPENSITY SCORES

A propensity score is simply a probability, a number ranging from 0 to 1. A propensity score is the probability that a subject will be assigned to a condition or group, based on conditions that exist at the time of the group assignment.

The basic SAS syntax to generate propensity scores using PROC LOGISTIC is given below:

```
PROC LOGISTIC data=patient_variables descending;
  model drug_treat_flag = <BASELINE VARIABLES> ;
  output out=propensity_score pred = prob_treat;
run;
```

The components of the PROC LOGISTIC are broken down as follows:

- PATIENT_VARIABLES is the data set with one observation per subject or patient, that includes the binary group assignment variable and the baseline variables that play a role in the group assignment

- DESCENDING is the PROC LOGISTIC option that gives the probability the outcome will be true
- DRUG_TREAT_FLAG is the binary 1/0 treatment group variable that has a value of 1 if the subject was treated and 0 if the subject was not treated
- <BASELINE VARIABLES> are the variables used in the propensity score model. These baseline variables must reflect the conditions that existed before and up to the time the subject was assigned to the treatment group. Any variables that reflect conditions that occurred after the assigned of the treatment group are “outcome” variables and cannot be included in the model. Types of baseline variables that can be included in a propensity score model include age, gender, geographic location, and variables that reflect health status at the time of group assignment.
- PROPENSITY_SCORES is the name of the output data set that contains all of the variables in the original data set PATIENT_VARIABLES, plus the new probability variable PROB_TREAT
- PROB_TREAT is the name of the variable with the predicted probability, with values ranging from 0 to 1

Deciding which specific baseline variables to use in a propensity score model is the most complex part of this process and is dependent of variable availability and the needs of each study. The only generalization that can be made is that the values of these baseline variables must reflect the conditions before and up to the time of the group assignment.

MATCHING PROPENSITY SCORES

A variety of methods and algorithms can be used to match patients assigned to different groups based on propensity scores. These methods include modifications of matching patients on the actual propensity score, or on matching patients based on the percentage group of the score.

The method of matching patients in different groups based on propensity scores demonstrated here is based on matching on an allowable absolute difference between exact propensity scores, or a “radius” around the score. This matching is done using a generalized SAS macro for propensity score matching that can match a “control group” to a “patient group” at an N:1 ratio, using an algorithm to maximize the number of propensity score matches. This optimization algorithm is based on retaining the matches for patients with the fewest possible number of matches first.

The input parameters to the generalized propensity score matching program are

- `pat_dsn` = The name of the SAS data set with the treated patients
- `pat_idvar` = The name of the patient ID variable in PAT_DSN, can be character or numeric
- `pat_psvar` = The name of the propensity score probability variable in PAT_DSN
- `cntl_dsn` = The name of the SAS data set with the untreated patients
- `cntl_idvar` = The name of the patient ID variable in CNTL_DSN, can be character or numeric
- `cntl_psvar` = The name of the propensity score probability variable in CNTL_DSN
- `match_dsn` = The name of the output SAS data set with the patient IDs for the matched pairs
- `match_ratio` = The matching ratio, must be a number from 1 to N for N:1 control to patient matching
- `score_diff` = A number between 0 and 1 that gives the allowable absolute difference (radius) between the treated and control patients’ matched propensity scores
- `seed` = An optional input parameter, which is the seed for the random number generator

The entire code for this matching macro is given in the Appendix to this paper, and the SAS code to call the macro is shown below:

```

/*****
/* Separate patients treated with the drug from untreated patients
*****/
DATA prop_score_treated
    prop_score_untreated;
    set propensity_scores;
    if drug_treat_flag = 1 then output prop_score_treated;
    else if drug_treat_flag = 0 then output prop_score_untreated;
run;

/*****
/*      1:1 Matching with an absolute difference between propensity scores
/*      of 0.01
*****/
%psmatch_multi(pat_dsn      = prop_score_treated,
               pat_idvar    = pat_id,
               pat_psvar    = prob_treat,
               cntl_dsn     = prop_score_untreated,
               cntl_idvar   = pat_id,
               cntl_psvar   = prob_treat,
               match_dsn    = matched_pairs1,
               match_ratio  = 1,
               score_diff   = 0.01
               );

/*****
/*      2:1 Matching with an absolute difference between propensity scores
/*      of 0.05
*****/
%psmatch_multi(pat_dsn      = prop_score_treated,
               pat_idvar    = pat_id,
               pat_psvar    = prob_treat,
               cntl_dsn     = prop_score_untreated,
               cntl_idvar   = pat_id,
               cntl_psvar   = prob_treat,
               match_dsn    = matched_pairs2,
               match_ratio  = 2,
               score_diff   = 0.05);

```

If the macro takes a very long time to run without completion, the chosen value of the allowable radius (SCORE_DIFF) is probably too large for the input data, and a smaller radius should be used.

AN EXAMPLE OF COMPARING UNMATCHED AND PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED PATIENTS

Propensity scores are used for determining probabilities other than the probability of a subject being treated with a specific drug. Propensity scores can also be used to predict if a patient will be assigned to a condition.

A study was conducted using inpatient hospitalization data to look at the incremental costs and resource utilization for patients who developed a surgical site infection (SSI) following coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery. The study compared these outcomes between patients who did develop a post-operative infection to the patients who did not develop a post-operative infection. However, the probability that these patients developed a post-operative infection following CABG surgery is not random. Surgery patients who are older and sicker at the time of their surgery have a higher probability of developing an SSI, and the costs of treating these older and sicker patients would be higher anyway, even if they did not develop an infection following surgery.

Propensity score matching was used to match patients on the probability that they would develop an SSI following CABG surgery. In other words, we wanted to compare the costs and resource utilization of two groups of patients who underwent CABG surgery who were equally likely to develop an SSI following surgery. One group of “equally likely to develop an infection” patients did develop the post-operative infection, and the other group of equally likely patients did not.

The risk factors for developing an SSI following CABG surgery have been widely published, and some of the baseline factors used on the propensity score model for this study included:

- Patient age and gender

- Patient comorbidities at the time of surgery, such as diabetes, obesity, COPD, and renal disease
- Characteristics of the hospital where the surgery was performed, such as urban/rural, teaching, hospital size (number of beds), annual volume of CABG surgeries performed at the hospital, geographic location of the hospital
- Characteristics of the CABG surgery, such as number of vessels involved and surgery time

The resulting propensity scores from this logistic regression model were the probability that a patient would develop an infection following CABG surgery. The patients who developed an SSI were matched to patients who didn't develop an SSI with a 1:1 matching, where the absolute difference between propensity scores was +/- 0.01.

Table 1 shows some of the patient characteristics before and after propensity score modeling:

Patient Characteristics	Post-CABG SSI n = 3,126	No post-CABG SSI Before propensity score matching n = 55,877	No post-CABG SSI After propensity score matching n = 3,126
Age, years (Mean, SD)	66.56 (10.94)	64.6 (10.73)	66.03 (10.85)
Gender (n, %)			
Male	2,000 (64.0%)	41,433 (74.2%)	2,045 (65.4%)
Female	1,126 (36.0%)	14,444 (25.8%)	1,081 (34.6%)
Baseline Comorbidities (n, %)			
Diabetes	1,623 (52.2%)	22,221 (39.7%)	1,616 (51.7%)
Obesity	658 (21.0%)	9,179 (16.4%)	645 (20.6%)
COPD	1,099 (35.2%)	12,704 (22.7.8%)	1,120 (35.8%)
Renal Disease	946 (30.3%)	5,549 (9.9%)	914 (29.2%)
Congestive Heart Failure	1,070 (34.2%)	8,398 (15.0%)	1,069 (34.2%)

Table 1. Patient Characteristics Before and After Propensity Score Matching

The propensity score matched patients who did not develop an SSI have baseline characteristics that are very similar to the patients who did develop an SSI – slightly older, larger percentages of females, and are sicker at baseline as reflected by their increased numbers of baseline comorbidities.

Table 2 shows some of the patient outcomes before and after propensity score matching:

Patient Outcomes	Post-CABG SSI n = 3,126	No post-CABG SSI Before propensity score matching n = 55,877	No post-CABG SSI After propensity score matching n = 3,126
Total Hospitalization Days			
Mean (SD)	16.0 (10.4)	7.8 (3.7)	9.3 (4.8)
Median (range)	14 (3-117)	7 (1-74)	8 (1-48)
Died During Hospitalization			
Yes	128 (4.1%)	770 (1.4%)	116 (3.7%)
Total Cost of CABG Hospitalization			
Mean	\$47,874	\$28,061	\$32,164
Median	\$40,060	\$25,527	\$28,478

Table 2. Patient Outcomes Before and After Propensity Score Matching

The propensity score matched patients who did not develop an SSI have more hospitalization days, death, and total cost of hospitalization than the patients without an SSI before propensity score matching, but they do not have as many adverse outcomes as the patients who developed a Post-CABG SSI.

CONCLUSION

Analysis of observational data collected to compare the effects of a primary classification or treatment variable on outcomes will need to be adjusted for the non-random classification of subjects with this primary variable. This non-random classification of subjects is called “selection bias”, and propensity score matching provides a way to adjust for selection bias in observational studies. The implementation of propensity score matching with SAS is straightforward, involving a logistic regression model with PROC LOGISTIC and a method for matching subjects’ propensity score probabilities generated with PROC LOGISTIC.

REFERENCES

- Baser, O. Too Much Ado about Propensity Score Models? Comparing Methods of Propensity Score Matching. *Value in Health* 9(6) 377-384. 2006.
- Rosenbaum PR and Rubin DB. The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects. *Biometrika* 70, 41-55. 1983.
- Foster EM. Propensity Score Matching: An Illustrated Analysis of Dose Response. *Medical Care* 41(10) 1183-1192. 2003.
- Leslie RS, Ghomrawi H. The Use of Propensity Scores and Instrument Variable Methods to Adjust for Treatment Selection Bias. *Proceedings of the SAS Global Forum 2008*, San Antonio, TX. 2008.
- Parsons LS. Reducing Bias in a Propensity Score Matched-Pair Sample Using Greedy Matching Techniques. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Annual SAS Users Group International Conference*, Long Beach, CA. 2001.
- Parsons LS. Performing a 1:N Case-Control Match on Propensity Score. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Annual SAS Users Group International Conference*, Montreal, Canada. 2004.
- Patkar A and Fraeman KH. Economic Impact of Surgical Site Infection Post-CABG Surgery Using Multi-Institutional Hospitalization Data. *Presented at the 3rd Joint Meeting of the Surgical Infection Society-North America and the Surgical Infection Society of Europe*, Chicago, IL. 2009.
- SAS Institute, Inc. 2008 “Usage Note 30971: How can I compute and match observations on propensity scores?”

CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Kathy H. Fraeman
Director Data Analytics
Real World Evidence
Evidera
7101 Wisconsin Ave #1400
Bethesda, MD 20814
Work Phone: +1 240 235 2525
Fax: +1 301 654 9864
E-mail: kathy.fraeman@evidera.com
Web: www.evidera.com

SAS and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc. in the USA and other countries. ® indicates USA registration.

Other brand and product names are trademarks of their respective companies.

APPENDIX: SOURCE CODE OF MACRO

```

/*****
/* Program:      PSMATCH_Multi.sas
/*
/* Platform:     SAS 9.3
/*
/* Drug/Protocol: Generalized SAS Macro
/*
/* Description:  Does N:1 optimized propensity score matching within specified
/*               absolute differences (radius) of propensity score
*****/

%macro psmatch_multi(
    pat_dsn =,      /* Name of data set with patient data */
    pat_idvar =,    /* Name of Patient ID variable in data set &PAT_DSN */
    pat_psvar =,    /* Name of Propensity Score variable in data set &PAT_DSN */
    cntl_dsn =,     /* Name of data set with control data */
    cntl_idvar =,   /* Name of Control ID variable in data set &CNTL_DSN */
    cntl_psvar =,   /* Name of Propensity Score variable in data set &CNTL_DSN */
    match_dsn =,    /* Name of output data set with N:1 matches */
    match_ratio =,  /* Number of control matches per patient */
    score_diff =,   /* Maximum allowable absolute differences (radius) between scores */
    seed = 1234567890) /* Optional input seed for random number generator */
;

/*****
/* Delete final matched pairs dataset, if it exists from a prior run
*****/
PROC DATASETS nolist;
    delete __final_matched_pairs;
run;
quit;

/*****
/* Make all internal macro variables local
*****/
%local __dsid __varnum __cntl_type __rc __num;

/*****
/* Determine characteristics of Control ID variable (numeric or character)
*****/
%let __dsid = %sysfunc(open(&cntl_dsn,i));
%let __varnum = %sysfunc(varnum(&__dsid, &cntl_idvar));
%let __cntl_type = %sysfunc(vartype(&__dsid, &__varnum));
%let __rc = %sysfunc(close(&__dsid));
%put &__cntl_type;

/*****
/* Patient Matching Data
*****/
DATA __patmatch (keep = &pat_idvar &pat_psvar);
    set &pat_dsn;
run;

/*****
/* Control Matching Data
*****/
DATA __contmatch (keep = &cntl_idvar &cntl_psvar);
    set &cntl_dsn;
run;

```

```

/*****/
/* Find all possible matches between patients and controls
/* Propensity scores must match within +/- &match
/*****/
PROC SQL;
    create table __matches0 as
    select
        p.&pat_idvar as pat_idvar,
        c.&cntl_idvar as cntl_idvar,
        p.&pat_psvar as pat_score
        c.&cntl_psvar as cntl_score,
    from __patmatch p left join __contmatch c
    on abs(p.&pat_psvar - c.&cntl_psvar) <= &score_diff
    order by pat_idvar;
quit;

/*****/
/* Data set of all possible matches
/*****/
DATA __possible_matches;
    set __matches0;
    /*-----*/
    /* Create a random number for each match
    /*-----*/
    rand_num = ranuni(&seed);

    /*-----*/
    /* Remove patients who had no possible matches
    /*-----*/
    %if &__cntl_type = C %then %do;
        if cntl_idvar ^= '';
    %end;
    %else %if &__cntl_type = N %then %do;
        if cntl_idvar ^= .;
    %end;

    /*-----*/
    /* Create a dummy variable
    /*-----*/
    n = 1;

run;

/*****/
/* Find the number of potential control matches for each patient
/*****/
PROC FREQ data=__possible_matches noprint;
    tables pat_idvar / out=__matchfreq (keep = pat_idvar count);
run;

/*****/
/* Optimize control matching for patients based on number of possible matches
/* Pick matches for patients with the fewest number of possible matches first
/*****/
DATA __matches_freq0;
    merge __possible_matches
          __matchfreq;
    by pat_idvar;
run;

```

```

/*****
/* Find the number of potential patient matches for each control
*****/
PROC FREQ data=__possible_matches noprint;
    tables cntl_idvar / out=__cntlfreq (keep = cntl_idvar count
                                     rename = (count= cntl_count));
run;

PROC SORT data=__matches_freq0;
    by cntl_idvar;
run;

DATA __matches_freq;
    merge __matches_freq0
          __cntl_freq;
    by cntl_idvar;
    /*****
    /* Take out patients with less than number of matches
    *****/
    if count >= &match_ratio;
run;

PROC DATASETS nolist;
    delete __matches0;
run;
quit;

/*****
/* Count the number of entries in the file of possible matches
*****/
%let __dsid = %sysfunc(open(__matches_freq,i));
%let __num = %sysfunc(attrn(&__dsid,nobs));
%let __rc = %sysfunc(close(&__dsid));

%do %while (&__num >= 1);

    PROC SORT data=__matches_freq;
        by count rand_num pat_idvar;
    run;

    /*****
    /* Get first randomly selected patient with the minimum
    /* number of matches
    *****/
    DATA __first_pat_idvar (keep = pat_idvar);
        set __matches_freq;
        by n;
        if first.n;
    run;

    /*****
    /* Get all matches for that patient
    /* Select the first randomly selected for the number of matches
    *****/
    PROC SORT data=__matches_freq;
        by pat_idvar count rand_num;
    run;

```

```

DATA __all_first_id;
  merge __matches_freq
        __first_pat_idvar (in=i);
  by pat_idvar;
  if i;
  num + 1;
run;

DATA __new_matched_pairs (keep = pat_idvar cntl_idvar pat_score cntl_score);
  set __all_first_id;

  label pat_idvar = "Patient ID, original variable name &pat_idvar"
        cntl_idvar = "Matched Control ID, original variable name &cntl_idvar"
        pat_score = "Patient Propensity Score, original var name &pat_psvar"
        cntl_score = "Matched Control Propensity Score, orig var &cntl_psvar"
        ;

  if num <= &match_ratio;
run;

/*****
/* Remove patients with matched controls
*****/
PROC SORT data = __new_matched_pairs (keep = pat_idvar)
  out=__new_matched_pats nodupkey;
  by pat_idvar;
run;

DATA __match_remove_pat;
  merge __possible_matches
        __new_matched_pats (in=id);
  by pat_idvar;
  if ^id;
run;

/*****
/* Remove all matched pairs that include selected controls
*****/
PROC SORT data=__new_matched_pairs (keep = cntl_idvar) out=__remove_cont;
  by cntl_idvar;
run;

PROC SORT data=__match_remove_pat;
  by cntl_idvar;
run;

DATA __match_remove_cont;
  merge __match_remove_pat
        __remove_cont (in=id);
  by cntl_idvar;
  if ^id;
run;

PROC SORT data=__match_remove_cont out=__possible_matches;
  by pat_idvar;
run;

/*****
/* Add new matched pairs to set of final matched pairs
*****/
PROC APPEND base=__final_matched_pairs data=__new_matched_pairs;
  run;

```

```

/*****
/* Find the number of potential control matches for each patient
*****/
PROC FREQ data=__possible_matches noprint;
    tables pat_idvar / out=__matchfreq (keep = pat_idvar count);
run;

/*****
/* Optimize control matching for patients based on number of possible matches
/* Pick matches for patients with the fewest number of possible matches first
*****/
DATA __matches_freq0;
    merge __possible_matches
          __matchfreq;
    by pat_idvar;
run;

/*****
/* Find the number of potential patient matches for each control
*****/
PROC FREQ data=__possible_matches noprint;
    tables cntl_idvar / out=__cntlfreq (keep = cntl_idvar count
                                     rename = (count = cntl_count));
run;

PROC SORT data=__matches_freq0;
    by cntl_idvar;
run;

DATA __matches_freq;
    merge __matches_freq0
          __cntlfreq;
    by cntl_idvar;
    /*-----*/
    /* Take out patients with less than number of matches
    /*-----*/
    if count >= &match_ratio;
run;

/*****
/* Determine number of remaining possible matched pairs
*****/
%let __dsid = %sysfunc(open(__matches_freq,i));
%let __num = %sysfunc(attrn(&__dsid,nobs));
%let __rc = %sysfunc(close(&__dsid));

%end; /* of "%do %while (&__num >= 1);

/*****
/* Create final output data set with one observation for each original patient
/* ID Variable names in output data set are PAT_IDVAR, PAT_SCORE, CNTL_IDVAR,
/* CNTL_SCORE
/* If no match for patient ID (PAT_IDVAR), then corresponding CNTL variables
/* (CNTL_IDVAR, CNTL_SCORE) are missing.
*****/
PROC SORT data=__final_matched_pairs;
    by pat_idvar pat_score;
run;

```

```

DATA __patmatch_orig;
  set __patmatch (rename= (&pat_idvar = pat_idvar &pat_psvar = pat_score));
run;

PROC SORT data=__patmatch_orig;
  by pat_idvar;
run;

DATA &match_dsn (label = "Final Matched Pairs for Propensity Score Matching");
  merge __final_matched_pairs
        __patmatch_orig;
  by pat_idvar pat_score;
run;

/*****
/* Delete all temporary datasets created by macro
*****/
PROC DATASETS nolist;
  delete __contmatch __final_matched_pairs __matches_freq0 __matches_freq
        __match_pair0 __matchfreq __match_remove_cont __match_remove_pat
        __new_matched_pairs __patmatch __patmatch_orig __possible_matches
        __remove_cont __cntlfreq __first_pat_idvar __all_first_id
        __new_matched_pats;
run;
quit;

%mend psmatch_multi;

```