



## **The SAS Certified Professional Program: what's in it for me ?**

**Ruth Talbot**

**Certification Program Manager**

**SAS Education UK & Ireland**

### **INTRODUCTION**

In recent years, IT certification programs have dramatically increased in popularity and credibility. As part of this trend, the SAS Certified Professional Program has been developed to recognise users who can demonstrate an in-depth understanding of SAS software.

Obtaining certification is becoming more and more necessary for IT professionals to remain competitive in the job market. Employers perceive employees with certification as being more competent and productive. In addition, employees view certification as contributing to their professional credibility.

As the demand for and the number of SAS users and consultants continues to grow, the need to distinguish between those who have mastered a specified level of competence in their use of SAS products and solutions versus those who have not becomes increasingly important.

The SAS Certified Professional Program offers credentials which certify individuals at a job role level rather than for a particular software version or release. Designed in tracks, each certification requires the successful completion of one or more exams to earn a credential.

But how valuable is the Program ? Are the qualifications worthwhile ? Are they recognised in other countries ? Do they reflect experience as well as skills ? Will the Program help organisations recruit and retain the people they need ? This paper aims to answer these questions and also give a practical introduction to the easiest way to obtain SAS Certification, including information on the testing process, examination costs and study aids.

### **ARE THE QUALIFICATIONS WORTHWHILE ?**

Throughout the IT industry, companies are advocating professional certification to stay abreast of the latest technological advances and a step ahead of the competition. A study by International Data Corporation (IDC) found the following improvements within companies that employ certified staff:

- Improved customer service: certified professionals handle 40% more support calls per person, per day than noncertified individuals
- Increased productivity: companies that advocate certification report 49% less downtime than those that do
- Reduced operating costs: in most companies the savings from increased effectiveness pay for certification in less than nine months

Studies also highlight significant benefits for employees who obtain certification, including improved job security and increased compensation, even in today's economy. The 2003 Salary Survey conducted by Certification Magazine revealed that:

- 68% of respondents feel more secure in their jobs due to certification
- 76% report that certification gives them a high level of confidence in the work they do



- 70% said that being certified earns them more respect from managers and peers
- 61% have seen a greater demand for their skills since becoming certified

## **ARE THE QUALIFICATIONS RECOGNISED IN OTHER COUNTRIES ?**

The SAS Certified Professional Program has provided a global standard for measuring SAS software knowledge since its launch in 1999. Qualifications are available worldwide and are internationally recognised.

More than 800 respondents from 34 different countries responded to a worldwide survey run by SAS to gauge users perceptions of the program. 84% agreed that the content of the exams was appropriate. The full results are available at [www.sas.com/certification](http://www.sas.com/certification).

There are currently 3800 Certified Professionals, representing 49 countries worldwide. 6.5% of these are based in the UK & Ireland.

## **DO THE QUALIFICATIONS REFLECT EXPERIENCE AS WELL AS SKILLS ?**

SAS Certified Professional exams are designed to assess SAS knowledge at a job role level. Job-role based exams are intended to not only measure familiarity with SAS technologies, but also the application of SAS technologies to solving job role requirements. The value of certifying individuals at the job role level is well supported by research, including by Certification Magazine (Moore, 2002).

The exams are developed according to defined international standards and based on survey results and feedback from SAS users. The development of a certification examination is a lengthy and involved process. The process needs to be strictly adhered to ensure that the examination is valid and reliable.

Validity is the ability of the test to measure that which it is intended to measure. For an examination to have content validity, it must demonstrate at least two qualities. First, the content of the examination must be job-related. Second, the examination should cover areas where lack of knowledge would result in inability to perform the job.

Another critical element of the quality of a certification examination concerns how reliable, or consistent, the examination is in measuring candidates' ability levels. Reliability is the index of how accurately the examination measures the candidate's skills and is a necessary condition to achieve exam validity.

An examination must be both valid and reliable to be considered a well-developed and defensible examination. By following the rigid standards of the test development process, these two qualities are likely to be met.

The test development process can be summarised in ten steps:

1. Conducting the Job Task Analysis
2. Developing the Test Blueprint
3. Developing Items
4. Reviewing the Items
5. Assembling and Delivering the Beta Exams
6. Analysing Beta Exam Results and Item Selection



7. Constructing Equivalent Exam Forms
8. Establishing the Passing Score
9. Administering/Scoring Operational Exams
10. Providing Ongoing Exam Maintenance.

### **Step 1: Conducting the Job Task Analysis**

The content of the examination should be related to the job or role the individual is seeking to practice. The most widely used and accepted way of establishing job-relatedness is to conduct a job task analysis. This step of the test development process is the most complex and lengthy step. However, this step serves as the foundation for the examination. As with a home, a solid foundation will result in a solid structure.

The job task analysis is a systematic method of collecting data regarding the responsibilities, knowledge, and skills associated with acceptable performance within a profession. These data are then used to develop the blueprint for the examination. The job task analysis typically consists of two phases:

1. Obtaining and describing the tasks necessary for competent performance of the job role
2. Validating the job tasks identified

In obtaining and describing the job information, SAS invites 10-12 subject matter experts (SMEs) to a three day workshop in which the tasks needed to perform a particular job role are determined, along with the associated knowledge and skill set necessary for competent performance. These tasks become the basis for certification test objectives.

Once the full listing of tasks is determined, it is necessary to have this listing validated with a representative sample of individuals currently performing the job for which the certification is intended. This validation effort involves surveying a random sample of job incumbents. For each of our certification examinations, the survey is available on the SAS website for a minimum of one month and is actively promoted to ensure a representative sample of the population has the opportunity to respond.

The questions on the survey are in the form of a Likert rating scale (1-4) with each item corresponding to an exam objective. Each objective is rated on its importance to the specific job role and on the frequency by which the task/objective is typically performed. This data is then used for Step 2 of the test development process: Developing the Test Blueprint.

### **Step 2: Developing the Test Blueprint**

The purpose of the test blueprint is to define the attributes of the examination. This blueprint is then used to ensure that the assembled examinations (test forms) are consistent from form to form in content. That is, if one candidate receives Form A of a particular exam and another receives Form B, they will be taking equivalent exams. Statistical analysis discussed later in this paper will explain how the exams are made statistically equivalent. As a minimum, the test blueprint includes:

- Purpose of the exam
- Description of the target audience
- Total number of items (questions) on the exam
- Number of items per domain/test objective



- Content outline
- Exam format and item types

The first three items in the above list are determined in Phase 1 of the job analysis. How the items are dispersed across the exam is determined using the empirical data from the job analysis survey. With these data, the objectives are prioritised and weighted. For example, objectives receiving higher importance ratings have more items allocated to them than objectives receiving lower importance ratings. Once the percentage of items has been determined, the test content outline is finalised.

While there are many different exam formats and item types, SAS uses the multiple choice format, the most commonly used format within the IT certification industry. The decision to use the multiple choice format was made after researching the advantages and disadvantages of this format vs. other exam types (Dungan, 1996; Osterlind, 1997; Haladyna, 1999). The number of exam items varies based on the examination and what can reasonably be measured in a two-hour examination period.

### **Step 3: Developing Items**

Once the test blueprint is finalised, a pool of items is developed to measure each of the objectives. Each item is linked through a classification system to the test blueprint. The number of items and the item content needed is determined from the test blueprint stage. To ensure that enough items survive the item technical review and beta test process, at least three times as many items as specified on the blueprint need to be written for each test objective.

The first step in item development is to assemble a group of SMEs to develop items. All involved SMEs must complete item writing training prior to writing items to ensure familiarity with psychometric processes and with the exam requirements. While there are many methods of accomplishing item writing, the most intensive training and writing method involves bringing the selected group of SMEs to an item development workshop lasting a minimum of two days (Dungan, 1996). SAS convenes a group of 10-15 item writers from around the globe to participate in a weeklong workshop in one central location, typically the SAS World Headquarters in Cary, North Carolina, although some item writing sessions have been conducted in Heidelberg, Germany at SAS International Headquarters.

During this workshop, item writers receive formal training in item writing and generate items in small groups. When developing items, writers must ensure that the items generated:

- are significant (i.e., important to measure)
- discriminate between knowledgeable and unknowledgeable candidates
- match the intended objective as described on the blueprint
- do not provide any unintended source of difficulty or answer cues for any items on the exam

Item writers should also be aware of the cognitive level of the items they are writing. A well-known approach to classifying objectives by cognitive levels is the Bloom taxonomy (Bloom, Engelhart, Furst, Hill & Kratwohl, 1956). SAS uses a modification of Bloom's taxonomy and categorises items based on three cognitive levels:

1. Basic - the recall and assessment of facts and knowledge
2. Intermediate - the understanding and application of knowledge
3. Advanced - the ability to synthesise information to arrive at a conclusion



Item writers are strongly encouraged to minimise the use of simple knowledge-level items and strive to develop items that measure higher levels of cognitive understanding, as these items will better distinguish between knowledgeable and unknowledgeable candidates.

*Initial Item Review:* Once the first draft of the item is written, the SMEs review the items as a group and validate each item according to what SAS calls the CIRCA rules:

- C - Is the item congruent to the objective it is measuring?
- I - Is the item important to know for someone certified at this level?
- R - Is the item relevant to the job role being certified?
- C - Is the item clear to all candidates (including non-native English speakers).
- A - Is the item accurate (e.g., Has the code been tested? Is there only one true answer)?

#### **Step 4: Reviewing the Items**

Once the items have passed the initial review, a psychometric/editing team at SAS reviews the items to ensure they meet standard, accepted psychometric properties and any specific SAS standards. Minor non-content edits are made to the items at this point. Once this review is completed, the item is in its “final edit” form.

These items are then reviewed by a set of SMEs during a weeklong technical review workshop. SAS currently conducts these workshops using web and video conferencing to minimise travel time for the SMEs. During this stage, each item is thoroughly reviewed for technical accuracy, relevance, and clarity. All responses are reviewed to ensure that the incorrect choices are plausible but unquestionably incorrect.

As appropriate, SAS programs are executed to validate answers and reference material is researched and documented. The correct answer is reviewed to ensure that there is only one appropriate answer. Final consensus on all technical issues and whether this item belongs in the item pool is reached. Final approval of the item as it is to appear in its beta tested format is also reached.

#### **Step 5: Assembling and Delivering the Beta Exams**

Once reviewed, edited, and approved, items are placed in the item pool - a depository of all items that are viable for inclusion on the examination. These items are then field tested during a beta testing period. Beta examinations are conducted during a limited time period. The time period is dependent upon how many candidates are anticipated to test within a given period. However, more important than the number of candidates is that the beta candidates are a representative sample of the target population for the examination.

SAS uses the data collected from the beta exams to assess how each item performs; this also provides a chance for unforeseen problems to be resolved prior to the development of the operational examination. Given the increasing popularity of SAS certification exams, the SAS Certified Professional Program typically publishes multiple exams forms for each credential to help ensure exam security. SAS uses the beta information to ensure the development of pre-equated test forms. While there are various equating techniques (Kolen, 1995), SAS has opted to use pre-equated test forms so that scores on operational forms can be provided to candidates immediately upon completing the exam.



Beta exams consist of significantly more test items than defined in the test blueprint to account for the items that do not perform well, as these items will not be used in the calculation of a candidate's exam score. By making the examinations longer, in terms of number items as well as exam duration, SAS is guaranteed enough items by which a candidate's score can be determined.

Examinees taking a beta exam cannot receive their scores immediately. Instead they must wait until all analysis of the beta exams is completed, the items which will comprise their examination form are selected, and the passing score has been determined. The benefit for the candidate is that they have the opportunity to achieve certification earlier than if they wait for the production exam. In addition, beta examinations are typically offered at a reduced cost. Some candidates enjoy the beta exam as it provides them a unique opportunity to provide feedback on which items ultimately make the production/operational form.

The beta examinations are administered using the same method as the operational examination. That is, the administration procedures, directions, security, and amount of time per item match the operational examination.

### **Step 6: Analysing Beta Exam Results and Item Selection**

As mentioned earlier, the main purpose of beta testing is to field test items. SAS then uses the item data to determine if the items performed as intended. As a minimum, the following item statistics are considered when selecting items from the beta pool for the operational examination:

- item difficulty
- item discrimination

*Item Difficulty:* The item difficulty (p-value) of an item is defined as the proportion of candidates who answer the item correctly. In general, the correct response option for an item should be chosen more frequently than the incorrect options. Difficult items will have a lower p-value. For standard one answer, four option multiple-choice items, p-values less than .30 (a value slightly higher than the chance for guessing it correct) should be flagged for review, as these items may be too difficult. Many times low p-values are used to find items whose wording are not clear. Similarly, items having a p-value greater than .95 may be too easy. Since 95% of the candidates are answering this item correct, this item cannot provide distinguishing information between candidates who are knowledgeable on the content versus those who are not. SAS generally uses items with p-values between .30 and .90.

*Item Discrimination:* Regardless of the difficulty level, an item must also be able to distinguish between low scoring candidates and high scoring candidates. If low scoring candidates are getting a particular item correct, while the high scoring candidates are missing the item, there may be a problem with the item. For example, perhaps the wording of the item results in higher scoring candidates misinterpreting the item and selecting the incorrect response option, while lower performing candidates answered the item correctly. This case is called negative discrimination. In some cases, low and high scoring candidates may perform the same on the item. This situation is called no or zero discrimination. The goal is to have positive discrimination. With positive discrimination, higher performing candidates answer the item correctly while lower performing candidates miss the item. As a result, this item has predictive ability of total exam performance. Item discrimination can be thought of as the correlation of scores on the item with examinees' total scores. This correlation is known as the point-biserial and is referred to as the discrimination index. If the discrimination index is less than .25, then the item is flagged for review.



The numeric values provided above for item difficulty and discrimination are only guidelines. The criteria may vary depending on the purpose of the testing program. In addition, there will be cases where items will be flagged, but they will still be retained. As an example, consider an item with a p-value of .94. Since the majority of candidates are getting the item correct, there is not much room for discrimination so the point-biserial may be lower than .25. Each flagged item may have a unique situation. As a result, while SAS makes statistical recommendations on the item, it is ultimately a group of SMEs who decide whether or not to keep an item on the operational form.

In addition, an item with excellent statistics may still not be a good item. For example, an item may have a p-value of .60 and a discrimination index of .80. Statistically, it appears that this item is performing well. However, upon further review, one might discover that candidates were only selecting options A and B, and that none or very few candidates chose options C and D. In this case, the single answer, four choice multiple choice item has in a sense become a true-false item with a 50% chance of getting the item correct. In this case, SAS may select to flag the item for further revision and allow the SMEs to make the final decision.

**Storing/Maintaining Acceptable Items:** Throughout the process, SAS maintains a record of the items written and beta tested. All items not accepted should be flagged so that they are not used in any exam forms. As part of the item pool, SAS maintains for each item the following information:

- Unique item identifier
- Objective number from test blueprint
- Beta information (e.g. form, date of administration)
- Number of candidates who attempted the item
- Number of candidates selected each option
- Number of candidates who omitted the item
- Discrimination index
- p-value
- Average time to answer the item
- Author of item
- Reference for answer verification
- Cognitive level (based on chosen taxonomy)
- "Enemy" items (i.e. items that give the answer to each other away)
- Graphic link, if graphic is part of item
- Comments or special notes

## **Step 7: Constructing Equivalent Exam Forms**

While designing the exam to meet the specifications of the test blueprint, SAS strives to maximise the reliability of the examination. This characteristic assures that the same results could be replicated if the same candidates were tested again under similar circumstances. SAS uses the KR (Kuder-Richardson) 20 coefficient, the most commonly used index to measure reliability of certification examinations. The KR20 coefficient value ranges from 0 to 1. The goal is to obtain the highest reliability estimate possible.

The value of the KR20 coefficient is directly related to the number of items on the exam. The more items on the exam, the higher the reliability of the examination. For exams with 150 or more items, reliability indices may be in the high .80s or low .90s. However, shorter exams with 50-100 items should have minimum coefficient values in the low .80s or high .70s.



For many reasons (e.g. test security, repeat test takers), it is desirable to have multiple forms of an exam. If multiple examinations are constructed, it is critical that the examinations are operationally equivalent from a content and statistical perspective, as well as being reliable measures. As a result, SAS strives to create multiple exam forms for each of their exams.

The first step in establishing equivalence is to ensure that the examinations align with the test blueprint. This level of equivalence provides content validity to the examination and ensures equivalence at the content level. No matter which form a candidate receives, the candidate will have the same number of items on a particular topic as a candidate receiving a different form.

The second step is to ensure statistical equivalence. Candidates should not be penalised for taking harder versions of an examination, nor rewarded for taking an easier version. SAS controls for statistical equivalence when constructing the exam by careful selection of the items. By using the beta test results and input from the SMEs, items are selected so that pre-equated tests forms are generated. In developing pre-equated forms, the items selected should yield, at a minimum, equivalent average p-values. It is also desirable to have equivalent point biserials, time required to complete the items, mean scores, standard deviations, reliability, skewness, kurtosis, and standard error of measurement for all forms.

### **Step 8: Establishing the Passing Score**

After the examinations are constructed, the passing score for the exam must be determined. In accordance with testing guidelines, SAS establishes the pass/fail standards in a manner that is generally accepted as being fair and reasonable, rather than arbitrarily set.

There are two broad categories of standard setting: normative and absolute. Normative standards make pass/fail decisions based on how a candidate performs relative to the other candidates. The percentage of candidates that will pass is determined prior to the test administration. Candidates pass based on where their score is in comparison to the other candidates. An example of normative standards is an examination used for scholarship purposes. The sponsoring agency may know they can only provide scholarships to the top 10% of applicants.

Absolute standards, also called criterion-referenced standards, establish a specific level of performance which must be attained. Pass/fail decisions are made based on whether this level is met, regardless of the number of candidates passing. Certification examinations typically use absolute standards, as their purpose is to ensure that a specified level of competency has been met.

SAS uses the criterion-referenced Angoff (1971) method for the determination of the passing point. This method is the most commonly used and widely accepted method for establishing the passing score on certification examinations.

*The Angoff Method:* The first step in the Angoff method is establishing the committee of SMEs, called judges. As with the earlier steps in the test development process, the group established should be representative of the profession and familiar with the level of knowledge for which the certification is intended. It is critical to include individuals at the level for which you are certifying in the standard setting process. For example, if the certification is intended for entry into the profession, then entry-level professionals should serve on the committee. These 'non-experts' can provide useful discussion material into the characteristics that certified professionals should possess. The size of the standard setting group is not as important as the composition of the members. However, general practice recommends no less than five judges should be used.



The judges must first agree upon the definition of the minimally qualified candidate. The judges are then asked to think of a group of minimally qualified candidates and, for each item, independently determine "what is the probability that a minimally qualified candidate will get this question correct?" For each item, the judges determine the average Angoff rating. The average of the averages across all items is the Angoff passing score. The Angoff rating for each item should be recorded in the item bank.

If only 5 judges are used, all ratings should be used. As the number of judges increases, the highest and lowest ratings can be deleted if these ratings are outlier values (i.e., 20 points or more away from their closest neighboring rating).

The difficulty of the Angoff approach is in conceptualizing the definition of the 'minimally qualified' candidate. As a result, participants typically need to review the definition repeatedly during the process. Discussions among the judges, after their independent ratings, can be helpful to judges forming their final item ratings. In addition, review of the beta item statistics can also be helpful to the judges in conceptualizing the 'minimally qualified' candidate and providing a crosscheck of their ratings. Judges, however, must remember that the beta exam results reflect all candidates, not just the 'minimally qualified' candidates and must use these data with caution.

### **Step 9: Administering/Scoring Operational Exams**

Once the passing point is established for the exams, the exam is ready for administration. SAS certification examinations are administered through Prometric, a Thompson Learning Company.

SAS selected Prometric as they are a recognised leader in the field of exam administration, have standardised testing locations, and have over 2500 locations globally.

The importance of standardised testing administration is directly addressed in the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (American Educational Research Association, American Psychological Association, National Council on Measurement in Education, 1999). The testing environment should be reasonably comfortable and have minimal distractors. The test administrators must follow standardised procedures. The exams must be securely stored and the administration must be proctored to ensure the validity of the test scores.

With computerised testing, SAS is able to provide candidates with their exam performance immediately upon completing the operational examination. In addition to the percentage of items the candidate answered correctly, SAS provides section scores for each domain area on the examination. In addition, computerised testing allows candidates with increased testing flexibility with exam sites available weekdays during normal business hours across the globe.

Another issue regarding exam administration is repeat testing. It can be expected that not all candidates who take a certification examination will pass. Some may not pass due to lack of knowledge or readiness. Others may not pass due to situational reasons such as temporary illness or high-test anxiety. While examinees deserve the chance to be retested, some guidelines should be established. Whenever an examinee takes an exam, they have 'practiced' taking the exam. The more 'practices' a candidate has, the better chance for an increased test score. This increase in test scores is called a practice effect. Since certification examinations are designed to ensure that those achieving the credential possess the appropriate level of knowledge, the validity of the candidate's score will be compromised if the practice effect is high. As a result, the SAS retake policy requires candidates to wait at least two months between testing, with a maximum of three testing opportunities within a twelve-month period.



## **Step 10: Providing Ongoing Exam Maintenance**

At defined intervals throughout the testing cycle, SAS reviews the item level and test form statistics for the exams. The operational data is compared to the beta item statistics. In addition, periodic review of the statistics ensures that the answer keys are accurate and that the items are performing as intended. Similar patterns between the beta and operational examinations provide another measure of the exam's content validity. The final operational item statistics are also recorded in the item bank as part of the permanent item history.

In addition to individual item statistics, SAS also monitors the full exam statistics. Collection of these data allows SAS to monitor the consistency of test form statistics, candidate characteristics, and the passing rate over time. For example, suppose the passing rate increases from 60% to 90% during one quarter. This unreasonably high jump should raise a flag. Perhaps a new training course was developed and is responsible for the increase in scores, or perhaps the security of the examinations has been compromised. As another example, suppose the passing rate decreases substantially, then SAS will want to ensure that there is not an error in the answer key or scoring program.

### **AS AN EMPLOYER, WILL THE PROGRAM HELP ME RECRUIT AND RETAIN THE PEOPLE I NEED ?**

SAS Certified Professional Program qualifications provide a reliable way of measuring an individual's SAS software knowledge, including those of potential job candidates. They also assist in determining employee training paths, and assessing the effectiveness of training.

According to Certification Magazine's 2003 Salary Survey, 82% of employees stay with current employers after achieving certification.

### **HOW CAN I OBTAIN SAS CERTIFIED PROFESSIONAL STATUS ?**

SAS certification credentials have been developed to measure SAS competency across the following functional areas:

#### SAS Certified Base Programmer

This credential is designed to assess knowledge of SAS programming essentials, including importing and exporting raw data files, manipulating and transforming data, combining SAS data sets, creating basic detail and summary reports using SAS procedures, and identifying and correcting data, syntax and programming logic errors. Successful candidates for the SAS Certified Base Programmer certification should have at least one year of current SAS programming experience.

Exams Required:

SAS Base Programming Exam

#### SAS Certified Advanced Programmer

This credential is designed to measure advanced SAS programming and data management fundamentals, including efficient SAS programming techniques. Successful candidates for the SAS Certified Advanced Programmer Certification should have a minimum of three years of experience in programming and data management using SAS. Candidates for this credential should be able to write efficient SAS code to solve complex problems in accordance with system specifications, while minimising use of computing resources. Candidates should also be knowledgeable in using advanced DATA step programming



statements and efficiency techniques to solve complex problems, writing and interpreting SAS SQL code, and creating and using SAS MACRO variables.

Exams Required:

SAS Base Programming Exam \*

SAS Advanced Programming Exam

\* Please note that the SAS V8 Core Concepts exam will satisfy the SAS Base Programming Exam prerequisite for this track.

#### SAS Certified webAF Developer: Server-side

This credential is intended to validate experience designing and developing advanced web-based information delivery applications using SAS software. Successful candidates for this certification should have at least three years of experience designing and developing advanced web-based information delivery applications using SAS Software, including SAS webAF server-side technology. Successful candidates must understand object-oriented techniques and principles and be knowledgeable in the following SAS software components and procedures typically used to develop applications in SAS: Base SAS, the Output Delivery System, SAS Macro Language, SCL, SQL processing with SAS, DATA Step programming, SAS webAF, SAS Integration Technologies, DHTML, Java and the Java server-side environment, SAS/ACCESS technologies, SAS/CONNECT, SAS/SHARE, and SAS OLAP Server.

Required Exams:

SAS Base Programming Exam or SAS V8 Core Concepts Exam

SAS Advanced Programming Exam

SAS webAF Server-side Application Development Exam

#### SAS Certified Warehouse Development Specialist

This credential is intended to test skills involved in the detailed design of data warehouse components in accordance with system specifications and constructing the components of the warehouse. The Warehouse Development Specialist can be thought of as the implementer of a SAS Data Warehouse. Candidates for this credential should be able to design detailed components of the data warehouse in accordance with system specifications and construct the components of the warehouse in compliance with the detailed design. Successful candidates will have a working knowledge in data management programming skills, data quality, extract, transform, and load (ETL), and testing procedures. Practical experience is likely to include work in data modeling, systems analysis, process design, data storage systems, and the software development life cycle. Successful candidates for the SAS Certified Warehouse Development Specialist certification should have a minimum of three years of experience in data warehouse development and design using SAS software.

SAS Certified Warehouse Development Specialists must have a detailed working knowledge of SAS® data warehousing technologies and their practical application in data warehousing projects, including use of the SAS Rapid Warehousing Methodology.



#### Exams Required:

SAS Base Programming Exam or SAS V8 Core Concepts Exam  
SAS Advanced Programming Exam  
SAS Warehouse Technology Exam  
SAS Warehouse Development Specialist Concepts Exam

#### SAS Certified Warehouse Architect

This credential tests skills involved in designing, implementing, testing and deploying data warehouse solutions. Successful candidates for the SAS Certified Warehouse Architect certification should have detailed knowledge of the development of the information architecture and communicating the design to the customer, the construction team and all other designated parties. Successful candidates must exhibit knowledge of strategies for gathering requirements, designing, implementing, testing, and deploying data warehouse solutions. Examples include work in data modeling, systems analysis, process modeling, system architecture, data storage systems, system development life cycle, and extract, transform and load (ETL) procedures.

#### Exams Required:

SAS Warehouse Technology Exam  
SAS Warehouse Architect Concepts Exam

Below is a summary table of the credentials with the required examinations:

| <b>Certifications</b>                             | <b>Examinations Required</b>                                                                                                  |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SAS Certified Base Programmer                     | SAS Base Programming                                                                                                          |
| SAS Certified Advanced Programmer                 | SAS Base Programming<br>SAS Advanced Programming                                                                              |
| SAS Certified webAF Developer:<br>Server-side     | SAS Base Programming<br>SAS Advanced Programming<br>SAS webAF Server-side Application Development                             |
| SAS Certified Warehouse Development<br>Specialist | SAS Base Programming<br>SAS Advanced Programming<br>SAS Warehouse Technology<br>SAS Warehouse Development Specialist Concepts |
| SAS Certified Warehouse Architect                 | SAS Warehouse Technology<br>SAS Warehouse Architect Concepts                                                                  |

## PREPARING FOR AND TAKING A SAS CERTIFICATION EXAM

#### Exam format:

All SAS Certification exams are computer based and consist of multiple-choice questions. The number of questions varies per examination but is in the range of 50-80 questions per exam. Each production exam must be completed in two hours. Candidates receive immediate pass/fail feedback upon completion of a production exam, including a breakdown of their performance in the different topic areas.



To ensure currency of SAS skills, SAS Certified Professionals are required to be re-certified every three years.

#### Test preparation:

Each exam challenges candidates to apply specific knowledge they have acquired through SAS software training and practical work experience. Experience is a critical component of obtaining the knowledge necessary to become a SAS Certified Professional. To gauge exam readiness, candidates are encouraged to review the subject matter covered on each exam.

SAS also offers a broad curriculum of instructor-based courses and e-learning training guides to assist individuals in preparing for an exam. Candidates may also benefit from reviewing product documentation or other reference material before taking an exam.

Candidates are encouraged to visit [www.sas.com/certification](http://www.sas.com/certification) to view the content and list of recommended test preparation materials for each exam.

#### Test registration:

To ensure the security of the tests and the test results, SAS Certification exams are administered by Prometric, a Thomson Learning Company. Individuals may register for exams at more than 2,500 Authorised Prometric Testing Centers in more than 140 countries around the world. Online registration is recommended and is available at [www.2test.com](http://www.2test.com).

In the UK the fee to sit a production exam\* is £109 + VAT. In the Republic of Ireland the fee is €124.50 + VAT. Certification exam prices are subject to change. For the latest pricing information and Certification special offers, please visit the [www.sas.com/certification](http://www.sas.com/certification).

\* Please note that pricing and test duration information may vary for beta exams.

### **SAS CERTIFICATION NEWSLETTER**

To stay current with SAS Certification news, please register for our newsletter at [http://www.sas.com/offices/europe/uk/certification/newsletter\\_request.html](http://www.sas.com/offices/europe/uk/certification/newsletter_request.html)

### **FOR MORE INFORMATION**

Individuals are encouraged to visit [www.sas.com/certification](http://www.sas.com/certification) to learn more about the SAS Certified Professional Program, including any of the above exams and credentials.

### **CONCLUSION**

The SAS Certified Professional Program has significant benefits for both employers and individuals. It provides an internationally recognised, standardised measure of knowledge in a particular SAS job-role. Great care has been used to develop the examinations, the same care that was given to determining the needs of SAS users and arriving at the credentials offered within the program. The program continues to evolve and we are constantly assessing if new credentials should be added. SAS encourages users to visit [www.sas.com/certification](http://www.sas.com/certification) and begin the steps to becoming a SAS Certified Professional.



## REFERENCES

Sosbe, T. (2003). 2003 Salary Survey *Certification Magazine*, December. [www.certmag.com](http://www.certmag.com)

International Data Corporation. "IT certification training and testing market, 1998-2003"

Moore, S. (2002). Demonstrating Performance Through Role-Based Certifications. *Certification Magazine*, September.

Some of the content of this paper was taken from *An Overview of SAS Certification and the Test Development Process* (SUGI 28) by Linda Althouse and Marc Vaglio-Laurin, Certification Program Team, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.

## CONTACT INFORMATION

Ruth Talbot  
Certification Program Manager  
Wittington House  
Henley Road  
Medmenham  
Marlow  
Buckinghamshire  
SL7 2EB  
Telephone: +44 (0) 1628 404 282  
Mobile: +44 (0) 7788 410 278  
Facsimile: +44 (0) 1628 404 448  
Email: [Ruth.Talbot@suk.sas.com](mailto:Ruth.Talbot@suk.sas.com)